

On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points

By
Memoona Latif



NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MODERN LANGUAGES
ISLAMABAD
August, 2024

On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points

By

Memoona Latif

MS-Math, National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad, 2024

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In Mathematics

To

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING & COMPUTING



NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MODERN LANGUAGES ISLAMABAD

© Memoona Latif, 2024



THESIS AND DEFENSE APPROVAL FORM

The undersigned certify that they have read the following thesis, examined the defense, are satisfied with overall exam performance, and recommend the thesis to the Faculty of Engineering and Computing for acceptance.

Thesis Title: On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points

Submitted By: Memoona Latif

Registration #: 61 MS/MATH/S22

Master of Science in Mathematics

Title of the Degree

Mathematics

Name of Discipline

Dr. Sadia Riaz

Name of Research Supervisor

Signature of Research Supervisor

Dr. Sadia Riaz

Name of HOD (MATH)

Signature of HOD (MATH)

Dr. Noman Malik

Name of Dean (FEC)

Signature of Dean (FEC)

August, 2024

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I Memoona Latif

Daughter of Muhammad Latif

Discipline Mathematics

Candidate of Master of Science in Mathematics at the National University of Modern Languages University do hereby declare that the thesis On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points submitted by me in partial fulfillment of MS-MATH degree, is my original work and has not been submitted or published earlier. I also solemnly declare that it shall not, in the future, be submitted by me for obtaining any other degree from this or any other university or institution. I also understand that if evidence of plagiarism is found in my thesis/dissertation at any stage, even after the award of a degree, the work may be canceled and the degree revoked.

Signature of Candidate

Memoona Latif

Name of Candidate

28, August, 2024

Date

ABSTRACT

Title: On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points

This research aims to introduce and examine new subclasses of analytic functions within the open unit disc. I will use q -calculus to develop the q -extension of starlike functions related to symmetric points. Additionally, i will explore significant properties such as coefficient bounds for analytic functions, the Fekete-Szego inequality and the Zalcman functional. I will also investigate upper bounds on Hankel Determinants for functions within these new class. The findings will be demonstrated to advance beyond previous results obtained by many researchers in Geometric Function Theory. Special cases of these new results will be presented as corollaries.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	viii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ix
DEDICATION	x
1 Introduction and literature review	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Riemann Mapping Theorem	1
1.3 Analytic and Univalent Functions	2
1.4 Subclasses of Analytic and Univalent Function	2
1.5 Coefficient Bounds	3
1.6 Fekete-Szego Inequality	3
1.7 Henkel Determinants	4
1.8 Zalcman Functional	5
1.9 q-Calculus	5
1.10 Starlike Function with respect to a Symmetric Point	6
1.11 Preface	6
2 Preliminary Concepts	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Analytic and Univalent Function	8
2.3 Class S of Univalent Function	9
2.4 Class \mathfrak{P} of Caratheodory Functions	9

2.5	Certain Subclasses of the Class \mathcal{S}	10
2.6	Subordination	10
2.7	q -Calculus	11
2.8	Subclasses of Class \mathcal{S} in q -Calculus	11
2.9	Henkel Determinant	12
2.10	Initial Lemmas	12
3	On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points Sine Function	14
3.1	Introduction	14
3.2	Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality	14
3.3	Hankel determinants	21
3.4	Zalcman Functional	23
4	On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points Tangent Hyperbolic Function	24
4.1	Introduction	24
4.2	Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality	24
4.3	Hankel determinants	31
4.4	Zalcman Functional	33
5	q-Extension On a New Subclasses of Starlike Functions Associated with Symmetric Points q-Tangent Hyperbolic Function	35
5.1	Introduction	35
5.2	Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality	35
5.3	Hankel determinants	44
5.4	Zalcman Functional	49
6	Conclusion	52
6.1	Future Work	53

LIST OF TABLES

Nil

LIST OF FIGURES

Nil

LIST OF SYMBOLS

\mathbb{D}	-	Open unit disc
A	-	The class of Analytic functions
S	-	Class of Univalent functions
C	-	Class of Convex functions
\mathfrak{P}	-	Class of Caratheodory functions
S^*	-	Class of Starlike functions
\prec	-	Subordination symbol
S_s^*	-	Class of Starlike functions with respect to Symmetric points
$S_s^*(1 + \tanh(\zeta))$	-	Class of Starlike functions Subordinated with Tangent hyperbolic funtion
$S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(q\zeta))$	-	Class of q-Starlike function Subordinated with q-Tangent hyperbolic function
\mathfrak{H}	-	Henkel Determinant symbol
D_q	-	q-Derivative operator symbol

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I am thankful to Allah (Subhan-Wa-Tallah) for his enduring mercies and to open the door when I least expected. Glory is to your name for all what you have done in my life and all the praises for immeasurable blessing upon my life. All praise is to Almighty Allah to whom belongs whatever is in the heavens and whatever is in the Earth and to him belongs all praises. This study would not be accomplished unless the honest espousal was extended from several sources for which I would like to express my sincere thankfulness and gratitude. Yet, there were significant contributors to my attained success and I cannot forget their input, especially my research supervisor, Dr. Sadia Riaz, who did not leave any stone unturned to guide me during my research journey. I really appreciate Dr. Sadia Riaz, who is also our HOD, for providing us with a research environment and kind support. I really want to say thanks to our respected teachers, Dr. Muhammad Rizwan, Dr. Anum Naseem, Dr. Hadia Tariq, Dr. Asia Anjum, and other teachers, for their guidance and support.

I shall also acknowledge the extended assistance from the administration of the Department of Mathematics, who supported me all through my research experience and simplified the challenges I faced. For all whom I did not mention but shall not neglect their significant contribution, thanks for everything.

DEDICATION

All praises and thanks are for Almighty Allah Lord of all the worlds, who gave me courage, health, and endurance in completing my studies successfully, and all respects are for the Holy Prophet, Muhammad (PBUH), who is an everlasting model of guidance and knowledge for humanity. I found no word to express my profound gratitude to thank my respectable, renowned and honorable Professor Dr. Sadia Riaz for taking a keen interest, guidance, valuable suggestion, and humble behaviour throughout the period of this study. I am highly gratified to express my sincere thanks and appreciations to my parents, grandparents, family members, brothers and sisters for their sacrifices and unforgettable help.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Overview

An extensive introduction and survey of the literature are provided in this chapter, with a focus on key ideas in geometric function theory. It covers various subclasses and the broader categories of analytic and univalent functions. Additionally, it briefly addresses the Fekete-Szegő inequality, Hankel determinants, the Zalcman Functional, and coefficient bounds within these classes. The chapter reviews some of the fundamental ideas in quantum calculus.

1.2 Riemann Mapping Theorem

Geometric function theory has its roots in Riemann's influential mapping theorem. Introduced by Bernard Riemann in 1851, the Riemann Mapping Theorem addresses the transformation of complex domains [1]. The first hard evidence emerged in the 20th century. Caratheodory providing a proved in 1912 using regular families and Riemann surfaces. As a result, the open disk, $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ can now be regarded as a domain. The theorem is fundamental to Geometric Function Theory as it forms its foundation. The major contribution in the 19th century by Cauchy, Riemann, and Weierstrass built the basis of contemporary function theory [2].

1.3 Analytic and Univalent Functions

In 1907, Koebe investigated univalent and analytic functions within the open unit disk \mathbb{D} [3]. His work contributed significantly to the understanding of these functions in this domain. Geometric Function theory was developed based on the concept of analytic functions, which were initially defined by Duren [3] in 1983. Duren defined the class A of analytic functions with the normalization conditions $\zeta(0) = 0$ and $\zeta'(0) = 1$, where functions are expressed as $\zeta(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, with z being a complex number such that $|z| < 1$. Various classes categorize analytic functions, with further subclassifications based on geometric properties and the structure of their image domains. The geometric shape of these domains has been a subject of significant research and debate among scholars. Koebe [4] was the first who explored the theory of univalent functions in 1907, after that Robertson [5] in 1936 and Macgregor [6] in 1964 worked in the theory of univalent functions.

1.4 Subclasses of Analytic and Univalent Function

In 1907, Koebe made significant contributions to the study of univalent functions [4]. He introduced the concept of univalent functions, a key topic in complex analysis, specifically focusing on their behavior within the unit disk \mathbb{D} . Koebe's work laid the foundation for the class S of univalent functions, which includes functions that are analytic, normalized, and univalent within \mathbb{D} . This class S is central to much of geometric function theory. There are numerous significant subclasses that comprise the class S : The class of starlike functions is S^* . C : Convex functions are a class. The class of starlike functions with regard to symmetric points is denoted by S_s^* . The subclasses of starlike and convex functions were expanded by Robertson [5] and Sakaguchi [7] in 1959, introducing the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points in particular. This classification started as part of the attempts to gather evidence for the Bieberbach conjecture [8]. In 1915, Alexander [5] formulated which is now called the Alexander relation, that links two categories of convex and starlike functions. In 1921, Nevanlinna [9] introduced the concept of starlike functions within \mathbb{D} . Subsequently, in 1975, Silverman [10] examined starlike of order α_0 and convex of order α_0 univalent functions

with negative coefficients, providing insights into coefficient inequalities, covering features, and coefficient distortion.

1.5 Coefficient Bounds

There are many classes and subclasses in geometric function theory, but determining coefficient bounds is one of the main focuses. Usually, functions in this discipline are investigated within different classes of normalized analytic functions from A . The Bieberbach theorem, first proved by Ludwig Bieberbach in 1916, is a key finding in this field. This theorem pertains to the class S of univalent functions and specifies the bounds for the second coefficient α_2 in these functions, leading to the formulation of Bieberbach's conjecture. Numerous studies and efforts at proof have focused on this conjecture [8]. The coefficient conjecture asserts that for a function f in the class S , the coefficients of f satisfy $|c_n| \leq n$ for $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Specifically, it was proven that $|c_2| \leq 2$, with equality holding only if f is the Koebe function or its rotations. Mathematicians have faced several difficulties in solving the Bieberbach conjecture, even though it is a simple concept. Despite numerous fruitless attempts to demonstrate it, substitute techniques have been devised. In 1923, Karl Loewner [11] proved that $|c_3| \leq 3$, a result that set the stage for further generalizations. In 1955, Gangadharan *et al.* [12] demonstrated that $|c_4| \leq 4$, addressing the conjecture for $m = 4$. The conjecture was ultimately resolved by Louis de Branges [13], in 1985. He provided a comprehensive proof of the general form. In more recent developments, Darus [14] derived estimates for the second and third coefficients in the classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions in 2016. Seoudy *et al.* [15] provided estimates for these coefficients in q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order, in 2016.

1.6 Fekete-Szego Inequality

By focusing on the coefficients of specific polynomials, the Fekete-Szego inequality—which is strongly associated with the Bieberbach conjecture—contributes significantly to the field of complex analysis. This inequality was first introduced by Fekete and Szego in 1933 [16]. It has

many important applications and implications in complex analysis. In 2020, Hari *et al.* [17] investigated the Fekete-Szego problem for analytic functions in subclasses of the class S .

1.7 Henkel Determinants

The determinant of the Hankel matrix connected to a function is referred to as the Hankel determinant. Pommerenke [18] first addressed the Hankel determinant of univalent and analytic functions in 1967. After that, Hayman [19] investigated the univalent functions' second Hankel determinant. In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [20] expanded this research by introducing the q -th Hankel determinant. Noor [21] later examined the growth rate of $\mathfrak{H}_q(k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for analytic univalent functions with bounded boundaries. In 2007, Janteng *et al.* [22] provided a precise upper bound for the functional $|c_2c_4 - c_3^2|$ in the context of convex and starlike functions.

Babalola [23] introduced the Hankel determinant of order 3 for starlike and convex functions within the unit disk \mathbb{D} in 2009. He found that for convex functions, the Hankel determinant is bounded by $\mathfrak{H}_3(1) \leq \frac{15}{24}$, while for starlike functions, it is bounded by $\mathfrak{H}_3(1) \leq 16$. Krishna *et al.* [24] determined that the Hankel determinant of order 3 for starlike functions with respect to symmetric points is $\frac{5}{2}$, and for convex functions, it is $\frac{19}{135}$, even still, they pointed out that these bounds weren't precise. Lecko *et al.* [25] refined these results in 2019 by providing precise bounds for the third-order Hankel determinant of starlike functions of order $\frac{1}{2}$. Bounds for the third-order Hankel determinant were established by Shi *et al.* [26] in certain classes of convex and starlike univalent functions associated with exponential functions in the \mathbb{D} . The third-order Hankel determinant for starlike functions associated with exponential functions within \mathbb{D} was found by Joshi *et al.* [27]. They derived a new formula for the fourth coefficient of Carathéodory functions and established exact bounds for the third-order Hankel determinant. Arif *et al.* [28] examined the q -th Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of analytic functions and estimated its growth.

The exploration of the Hankel determinant has been addressed by various researchers, including Noor [21] and Pommerenke [18], as well as numerous others [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34].

1.8 Zalcman Functional

In Geometric Function Theory, a well-known conjecture proposed by Lawrence Zalcman in 1960 [35] postulate that the coefficients of functions within class S should satisfy the inequality:

$$|a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq (n-1)^2.$$

Equality in this form is only possible by the Koebe function $k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ and its rotations. This well-known conjecture includes the Bieberbach conjector, which has been proven true by many researchers but is still an extremely difficult open problem for all $n > 3$. For $n = 2$, equality in this form corresponds to the well-known Fekete-Szegő inequality. The Zalcman functional has been extensively studied by various researchers [36, 37, 38], with further details available in [39].

1.9 q-Calculus

Quantum calculus, or q-Calculus, is an extension of traditional calculus that focuses on q-analogous results without relying on limits. This field of mathematics is not only fascinating but also essential for various contexts, such as cosmic strings and black holes [40]. Quantum calculus includes both q-calculus and \hbar -calculus, where q stands for quantum and \hbar for Planck's constant. Euler laid the groundwork for q-calculus in the 17th century, and Jackson was among the early researchers to formalize q-derivatives and q-integrals in 1909 [41]. q-calculus can be seen as a version of classical calculus that does not use limits, and it has seen rapid development due to its wide applications in mathematics, mechanics, and physics.

Jackson [42] is credited with the systematic introduction of q-calculus, while his later work [43] presented the concepts of q-derivatives and q-integrals. The field gained further traction with the introduction of q-starlike functions by Ismail *et al.* [44] in 1990, introducing the integration of q-calculus into Geometric Function Theory. This was achieved through the use of the difference operator, and the class was initially referred to as the "class of q-starlike functions." Subsequent work includes Srivastava's exploration of generalizations and q-extensions of Bernoulli functions [45], and Darus's introduction of q-starlike and q-convex functions in 2016, utilizing the q-derivative operator [46]. Ramachandran *et al.* [47] further defined q-starlike and q-convex

functions in relations to symmetric points. Recent research has focused on exploring practical properties of new classifications of meromorphic multivalent starlike functions using modified q -linear differential operators [48, 49].

1.10 Starlike Function with respect to a Symmetric Point

In 1959, Robertson [5] and Sakaguchi [7] expanded the concept of starlike and convex functions by introducing a new class of starlike functions defined with respect to symmetric points. This development introduced a broader framework for analyzing these functions. In 2019, Cho *et al.* [50] examined a specific class of starlike functions related to trigonometric functions, such as the sine function. Zaprawa [51] later explored coefficient inequalities for starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, addressing various problems within this framework. This approach has contributed to advancing the study of coefficient inequalities in function theory.

1.11 Preface

The goal of this thesis is to use the subordination idea to review and define certain analytic function sub-classes. It is divided into six chapters, each of having the following quick introduction:

In **Chapter 1**, an extensive examination of the literature arrives with a concentration on important ideas explored in the Geometric Function Theory classes. The classes of analytic functions, Carathéodory functions, and univalent functions are all covered in this investigation, along with certain significant subclasses. These ideas serve as the thesis's core.

In **Chapter 2**, concentrates on the basic principles in Geometric Function Theory, providing an important framework for the chapters to come. It begins by defining several basic subclasses of univalent functions and then researching into the concepts of analytic functions and normalized univalent functions under the \mathbb{D} . Basic lemmas are presented at the end of the chapter and will be used in later chapters. It is important that this chapter thoroughly cites and acknowledges

well-established ideas in the subject rather than introducing any new results.

In **Chapter 3**, involves looking at the class of star-like functions that are associated with symmetric points. A few of the most significant findings are also looked at. Emphasizing the need of appropriately referencing the review work is essential.

In **Chapter 4**, after analyzing the review work results, we extend the research to include new subclass of starlike functions connected with symmetric points. Various important results are examined into with these defined classes.

In **Chapter 5**, futher advanced our research work extends the trigonometric q - $\tanh(qz)$ function to new subclasses, among which is q -starlike with regard to symmetric points. Various important results are examined into with these defined classes. Corollaries are provided to show how the recently acquired data compare to past discoveries obtained by other investigators.

In **Chapter 6**, our research has been concluded.

CHAPTER 2

PERLIMINARY CONCEPTS

2.1 Introduction

Examining fundamental ideas and findings that lay the groundwork for further research is the goal of this chapter. We will go into great detail on the normalized analytic univalent functions Carathéodory function. We'll take note of specific functions, a significant expressed proprietor, and several initial lemmas. The interaction of geometry and analysis is one of the most fascinating features of complex function theory. Futhermore, some brief overview of the basis of q-calculus is provided, followed by an examination of several recent categories of analytic functions.

2.2 Analytic and Univalent Function

The link between geometric functions and analytic structure is at the foundation of univalent function theory. In this framework, we propose categories for both analytic and univalent functions.

Definition 2.2.1. [52] If ζ is a complex valued function and is also analytic at point z_0 , it must be a single value function and its value occurs not only at z_0 but also at all other points in the neighborhood z_0 . In this case, function ζ is considered analytic in its domain and analytic at all

other points.

Definition 2.2.2. [3] Let a function ζ be analytic and normalized these conditions $\zeta(0) = 0$, $\zeta'(0) = 1$ and represent the form:

$$\zeta(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.1)$$

then say that ζ is belong to Class A .

Definition 2.2.3. [53] Univalent, or one-to-one, functions are analytic functions that preserve injectivity. In particular, a function ζ is said to be univalent if it maps distinct complex numbers to distinct values. In other words, ζ is univalent within its domain if $(z_1) \neq (z_2)$ for any distinct complex numbers z_1 and z_2 in that domain. With applications in conformal mapping, complex dynamics, and Riemann surface theory, uniform functions play a significant role in both complex analysis and geometric function theory. They are valued for their geometric properties and for their role in understanding complex mappings and transformations.

2.3 Class S of Univalent Function

Class S plays very important role in Geometric Function Theory.

Definition 2.3.1. [53] Let a ζ function be analytic, normalized and univalent then $\zeta \in S$. The class of univalent function is also denoted by class S .

One well-known example from the class S of functions is the Koebe function, which has the following definition:

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c z^c, \quad \text{where } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.2)$$

2.4 Class \mathfrak{P} of Caratheodory Functions

The class \mathfrak{P} contains carathéodory functions, or functions with a positive real component. Numerous subclasses of univalent functions stem from this class. We will go over the core concepts from \mathfrak{P} that are relevant to our task.

Definition 2.4.1. [54] Suppose that \mathfrak{P} is an analytic function in the \mathbb{D} , with $Re[\mathfrak{P}(z)] > 0$ and

$\mathfrak{P}(0) = 1$. The Taylor series expansion of \mathfrak{P} is as follows:

$$\mathfrak{P}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.3)$$

The Möbius function, which is known to be a function in class \mathfrak{P} , is defined as follows:

$$M_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.5 Certain Subclasses of the Class \mathcal{S}

The study of univalent functions is a long-established field that continues to evolve dynamically. Significant progress has been made over the past ten to fifteen years, leading to the introduction of various subclasses of univalent functions. The geometric characteristics of these subclasses' image domains essentially define them. Notable among them are the classes of Convex and Starlike functions.

Definition 2.5.1. [52, 55] Consider any point z_0 in the domain \mathbb{D} . \mathbb{D} is said to be star-shaped with respect to z_0 if the line segment that joins z_0 to any other point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ stays completely inside \mathbb{D} . Let ζ be an analytical, univalent function with a star-shaped domain as its image in $\zeta(\mathbb{E})$. Then ζ is starlike domain and it can be defined as:

$$[56] \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}\right) > 0 \iff f \in \mathcal{S}^* \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.5)$$

Definition 2.5.2. [7] Sakaguchi introduced the class of starlike functions with regard to symmetric point, which is called \mathcal{S}_s^* . It is defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2z\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z) - \zeta(-z)}\right) > 0 \text{ for } z = z_0, |z_0| = r \quad (2.6)$$

2.6 Subordination

In 1909, Lindelöf [57] proposed the idea of subordination for the first time. Subsequently, Littlewood [58] and Rogosinski [59] made further contributions to the field. In Geometric Function Theory, subordination serves as a valuable technique for relating two functions defined

on different domains.

Definition 2.6.1. [60] Let class A is analytic in open disk \mathbb{D} and let S be a subclass of A so it is univalent in \mathbb{D} . Let any two functions (say ζ and j) be analytic in open disk \mathbb{D} , we say that ζ is subordination to j , written in mathematical as $\zeta \prec j$. If it exists a Schwarz function w , which is analytic in open disk with $h(0) = 0$, $|h(\mathfrak{t})| < 1$, $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}$, such that $\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) = j(h(\mathfrak{t}))$, $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}$

2.7 q-Calculus

American mathematician Jackson invented quantum calculus at the beginning of the 20th century by presenting the q -analog of integral and derivative operators.

Definition 2.7.1. [61] A key element in q -calculus is the q -derivative operator, commonly represented as D_q , which plays a central role in this generalization of traditional calculus.

Definition 2.6.1. [42] Jackson introduced q -derivative and it is defined as following:

$$D_q \zeta(\mathfrak{t}) = \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)_q \zeta(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{\zeta(q\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(\mathfrak{t})}{q\mathfrak{t} - \mathfrak{t}}, \mathfrak{t} \neq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The operator $D_q \zeta(\mathfrak{t})$ can be expressed as an infinite series:

$$D_q \zeta(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n]_q c_n \mathfrak{t}^{n-1}.$$

where $[n]_q$ is the q -analogue and c_n is the coefficient of the series expansion.

2.8 Subclasses of Class S in q -Calculus

The subclasses of class S in q -calculus is as following:

Definition 2.8.1. The class of q -starlike function are known as S_q^* . The q -starlike function was introduced by Ismail et al [44]. Let a function ζ be analytic then belong to q -starlike function and defined as:

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t})} D_q \zeta(\mathfrak{t})(\mathfrak{t}) - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1-q}, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}, 0 < q < 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Definition 2.8.2. The class of q -convex function are denoted by C_q . Srivastava and Owa [62] defined a class of q -convex. Let a function ζ be analytic then belong to q -convex and defined as:

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t})} D_q^2 \zeta(\mathfrak{t})(\mathfrak{t}) - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1-q}, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}, 0 < q < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.8.3. [47] q -starlike describes a function ζ with regard to symmetric points. When $S_{s,q}^*$

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{I}D_q\zeta(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} \prec \nu(\mathfrak{t}), \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.10)$$

2.9 Henkel Determinant

Definition 2.9.1.

The determinant of the corresponding Hankel matrix is known as the Hankel determinant. For positive integers j, k . Pommerenke [63] established the Hankel determinant for the class of univalent functions. The q -Henkel determinant for $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ is as follows:

$$H_{j,k}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \check{a}_k & \check{a}_{k+1} & \cdots & \check{a}_{k+j-1} \\ \check{a}_{k+1} & \check{a}_{k+2} & \cdots & \check{a}_{2k+j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \check{a}_{k+j} & \check{a}_{k+j} & \cdots & \check{a}_{k+2j-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.11)$$

where j and k are positive integers, further details, see [64, 63].

2.10 Initial Lemmas

The ensuing lemmas will be essential in guiding our research in the next chapters.

Lemma 2.10.1. if $p \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $h(\mathfrak{t}) = 0$ and analytic in \mathbb{D} then

$$[65] \quad |p_n| \leq 2 \text{ for } n \geq 1, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[65] \quad |p_{i+j} - \varepsilon p_i p_j| \leq 2 \text{ for } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1, \quad (2.13)$$

and for complex number ε , we have

$$[66] \quad |p_2 - \varepsilon p_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\varepsilon - 1|\}. \quad (2.14)$$

Lemma 2.10.2. [67] Let $h \in \mathfrak{P}$ has power series, then

$$|\alpha_o p_1^3 - \beta_o p_1 p_2 + \gamma_o p_3| \leq 2|\alpha_o| + 2|\beta_o - 2\alpha_o| + 2|\alpha_o - \beta_o + \gamma_o|.$$

Lemma 2.10.3. [68] Let $\hat{m}_o, \hat{l}_o, \hat{n}_o$ and \hat{a}_o satisfy the inequalities $0 < \hat{m}_o < 1$, $0 < \hat{r}_o < 1$, and

$$8\hat{r}_o(1-\hat{r}_o)[(\hat{m}_o\hat{n}_o-2\hat{l}_o)^2+(\hat{m}_o(\hat{r}_o+\hat{m}_o)-\hat{n}_o)^2]+\hat{m}_o(1-\hat{m}_o)(\hat{n}_o-2\hat{r}_o\hat{m}_o)^2\leq 4\hat{m}_o^2(1-\hat{m}_o)^2\hat{r}_o(1-\hat{r}_o).$$

If $h \in \mathfrak{F}$ and has power series, then

$$|\hat{l}_o p_1^4 + \hat{r}_o p_2^2 + 2\hat{m}_o p_1 p_3 - \frac{3}{2}\hat{n}_o p_1^2 p_2 - p_4| \leq 2.$$

CHAPTER 3

ON A NEW SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC POINTS SINE FUNCTION

3.1 Introduction

The chapter's objective is to examine a number of fundamental and traditional findings that form the basis for further investigation. The review of starlike functions opens this section. The definition of these classes is based on symmetric points connected to the sine function. Furthermore, a number of important findings, including the Zalcman functional, Fekete-Szego inequality, Hankel determinants, and coefficient bounds, will be examined. The Khan *et al.* [35], introduce the class of trigonometric sine function-related Starlike functions connected to symmetric points.

Definition 3.1.1. Lets $\zeta(t) \in A$ is in S_s^* after that

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} \prec 1 + \sin(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$.

3.2 Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality

The subsequent outcomes pertaining to the specified class S_s^*

Theorem 3.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}, |\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. According to the definition:

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} \prec 1 + \sin(t)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, using the subordination techniques, then we get

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = 1 + \sin(v(t)). \quad (3.1)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\zeta(t)$ is in the form that as:

$$\zeta(t) = t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \check{a}_n t^n, \quad (t \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (3.2)$$

So, it can be written as

$$\zeta(t) = t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

it follows that

$$\zeta(-t) = -t + \check{a}_2 t^2 - \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 - \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

now,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) - \zeta(-t) &= (t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots) - (-t + \check{a}_2 t^2 - \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 - \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots), \\ &= t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots + t - \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 - \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 - \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t) = 2t + 2\check{a}_3 t^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

taking derivative of $\zeta(t)$, then we get

$$\zeta'(t) = 1 + 2\check{a}_2 t + 3\check{a}_3 t^2 + 4\check{a}_4 t^3 + 5\check{a}_5 t^4 + \dots,$$

so, we have

$$2t\zeta'(t) = 2t + 4\check{a}_2 t^2 + 6\check{a}_3 t^3 + 8\check{a}_4 t^4 + \dots,$$

which leads us

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = \frac{2t + 4\check{a}_2 t^2 + 6\check{a}_3 t^3 + 8\check{a}_4 t^4 + \dots}{2t + 2\check{a}_3 t^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots},$$

taking $2t$ common on R.H.S, then we have

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = \frac{2t(1 + 2\check{a}_2t + 3\check{a}_3t^2 + 4\check{a}_4t^3 + \dots)}{2t(1 + \check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4 + \dots)},$$

so, it can also be written as

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = (1 + 2\check{a}_2t + 3\check{a}_3t^2 + 4\check{a}_4t^3 + \dots)(1 + \check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4 + \dots)^{-1}, \quad (3.3)$$

consider $(1 + \check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4 + \dots)^{-1}$ using Binomial Theorem, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + (\check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4)]^{-1} &= 1 + (-1)(\check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4) + \frac{(-1)(-1-1)}{2!}(\check{a}_3t^2 + \check{a}_5t^4)^2 + \dots, \\ &= 1 - \check{a}_3t^2 - \check{a}_5t^4 + \check{a}_3^2t^4 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

put above equation in (3.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} &= (1 + 2\check{a}_2t + 3\check{a}_3t^2 + 4\check{a}_4t^3 + \dots)(1 - \check{a}_3t^2 - \check{a}_5t^4 - \check{a}_3^2t^4), \\ &= 1 - \check{a}_3t^2 + (\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5)t^4 + 2\check{a}_2t - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3t^3 + 3\check{a}_3t^2 - 3\check{a}_3^2t^4 + 4\check{a}_4t^3 + 5\check{a}_5t^4 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

after simplification, then we will get

$$\implies \frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = [1 + (2\check{a}_2)t + (2\check{a}_3)t^2 + (4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3)t^3 + (4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2)t^4 + \dots]. \quad (3.4)$$

Lets us a function

$$h(t) = \frac{1 + v(t)}{1 - v(t)} = 1 + p_1t + p_2t^2 + \dots, \quad (3.5)$$

since $h(t) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $h(t)$ is in the form that as:

$$h(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n t^n, \quad (t \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (3.6)$$

Using the above statement then we get

$$v(t) = \frac{h(t) - 1}{h(t) + 1}, \quad (3.7)$$

using (3.5) in (3.7) and then we have

$$v(t) = \frac{1 + p_1t + p_2t^2 + \dots - 1}{1 + p_1t + p_2t^2 + \dots + 1},$$

so

$$v(t) = \frac{p_1t + p_2t^2 + p_3t^3 + p_4t^4}{2 + p_1t + t^2 + p_3t^3},$$

it can also be written as

$$v(\mathfrak{t}) = (p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3 + p_4\mathfrak{t}^4)(2 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3)^{-1},$$

after simplification we will get

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathfrak{t}) = & \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ & \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{8} - \frac{p_1^4}{16} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

as we know that

$$\sin[v(\mathfrak{t})] = [v(\mathfrak{t})] - \frac{[v(\mathfrak{t})]^3}{3!} + \frac{[v(\mathfrak{t})]^5}{5!} + \dots,$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin[v(\mathfrak{t})] = & \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ & \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 1 + \sin[v(\mathfrak{t})] = & \left[1 + \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \right. \\ & \left. \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.4) and (3.10) and substituting in (3.1), then we will get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (2\check{a}_2)\mathfrak{t} + (2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^2 + (4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^3 + (4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots = & \left[1 + \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By comparing both sides power of the above equation, then we obtained

$$2\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{2}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$2\check{a}_3 = \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4}\right), \quad (3.13)$$

$$4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 = \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right), \quad (3.14)$$

$$4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2 = \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Consider (3.12) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_2 , and then we get

$$\therefore 2\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{2},$$

$$\implies \check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{4}. \quad (3.16)$$

Consider (3.13) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_3 , and then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 2\check{a}_3 &= \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right), \\ \implies \check{a}_3 &= \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Using (3.16) and (3.17), put in (3.14) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_4

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 &= \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_4 &= 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right), \\ \check{a}_4 &= 2 \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right), \\ \check{a}_4 &= \frac{p_1p_2}{8} - \frac{p_1^3}{16} - \frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{5p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}, \\ \implies \check{a}_4 &= \frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Using (3.17), put in (3.15) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_5

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2 &= \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= 2\check{a}_3^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= 2 \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= \left[\frac{p_2^2}{16} + \frac{p_1^4}{64} - \frac{2p_2p_1^2}{16} \right] + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= \frac{-p_2^2}{8} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{8}, \\ \implies \check{a}_5 &= -\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{64} - \frac{p_3p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Now we will find the absolute values of the coefficients.

We have (3.16).

$$\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{4},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_2| = \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.12), then we have

$$|\check{a}_2| = \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \right| \leq \frac{2}{4} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.20)$$

We have (3.17).

$$\check{a}_3 = \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_3| = \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right| = \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| &\leq \frac{2}{4} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4} \max \{ 1, 0 \} = \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.21)$$

We have (3.18).

$$\check{a}_4 = \frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_4| = \left| \frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right|,$$

using triangular inequality and Lemma (2.10.2), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right| &\leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{96} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{3}{32} - \frac{2}{96} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{96} - \frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{8} \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} + \frac{7}{48} + \frac{4}{48} = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3.22)$$

We have (3.19).

$$\check{a}_5 = -\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{64} - \frac{p_3p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{\alpha}_5| = \left| -\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{3p_1^2 p_2}{64} - \frac{p_3 p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8} \right|,$$

by rearranging the above equation, then we get

$$|\check{\alpha}_5| = \left| \frac{1}{8} \left(p_4 - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) - \frac{p_1}{8} \left(p_3 - \frac{3p_1 p_2}{8} \right) \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equations (2.12) and (2.13), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{\alpha}_5| &\leq \frac{1}{8} |p_4 - p_1 p_3| + \frac{3}{64} |p_1^2| |p_2| + \frac{1}{32} |p_2^2|, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} (2) + \frac{3}{64} (2)^2 (2) + \frac{1}{32} (2)^2, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{\alpha}_5| \leq \frac{3}{4}. \quad (3.23)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 3.2.2. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{\alpha}_3 - \varepsilon \check{\alpha}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2} \right\}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (3.16) and (3.17), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{\alpha}_3 - \varepsilon \check{\alpha}_2^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} - \varepsilon \frac{p_1^2}{16} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{4} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{\alpha}_3 - \varepsilon \check{\alpha}_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{4} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{4}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 1 \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{\alpha}_3 - \varepsilon \check{\alpha}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

Hence proved. \square

Corollary 3.2.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (3.16) and (3.17), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} - \frac{p_1^2}{16} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{2+1}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.25)$$

Hence proved. □

3.3 Hankel determinants

The following results are evaluated.

Theorem 3.3.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. From (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right) \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1 p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^3}{32} - \frac{p_1^3}{96} + \frac{3p_1 p_2}{32} - \frac{p_3}{8} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1^3}{24} - \frac{5p_1 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

implementation of triangular inequality and Lemma (2.10.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p_1^3}{24} - \frac{5p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right| &\leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{24} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{5}{32} - \frac{2}{24} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{24} - \frac{5}{32} + \frac{1}{8} \right|, \\ &\leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{24} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{15-8}{96} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{4-15+12}{96} \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{24} + \frac{14}{96} + \frac{2}{96} = \frac{24}{96} = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 3.3.2. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{11}{16}.$$

Proof. From (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \left(\frac{p_1^3}{96} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1^4}{384} - \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{128} + \frac{p_1p_3}{32} - \frac{p_2^2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{64} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{16} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1p_3}{32} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{128} - \frac{5p_1^4}{384} - \frac{p_2^2}{16} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{5p_1^2}{128} \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{16} (p_1p_3 - p_2^2) - \frac{p_1p_3}{32} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.12),(2.13) and (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &\leq \frac{5}{128} (2)^2(2) + \frac{1}{16} (2)^2 + \frac{1}{32} (2)(2), \\ &\leq \frac{5}{16} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5+4+2}{16} = \frac{11}{16}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{11}{16}. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 3.3.3. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125.$$

Proof. Third order Hankel determinant is defined as:

$$\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{3,1}(\zeta) = \check{a}_3(\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2) - \check{a}_4(\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3) + \check{a}_5(\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2),$$

taking modulus on both sides, then we get

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| = |\check{a}_3||\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| - |\check{a}_4||\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3| + |\check{a}_5||\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2|,$$

by implementing results Theorem 3.2.1, Corollary 3.2.2.1, Theorem 3.3.1 and Theorem 3.3.2, then we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{11}{16} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right), \\ &\leq \frac{11}{32} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{3}{8}, \\ &\leq \frac{11+2+12}{32} = \frac{25}{32}, \end{aligned}$$

so, we get

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125. \quad (3.28)$$

Hence proved. \square

3.4 Zalcman Functional

The result is evaluated:

Theorem 3.4.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. Using (3.16) and (3.18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right)^2 - \left(-\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{64} - \frac{p_3p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8} \right) \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1^4}{8} + \frac{3p_2^2}{32} - \frac{7p_1^2p_2}{64} + \frac{p_3p_1}{8} - \frac{p_4}{8} \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left| \frac{p_1^4}{8} + \frac{3p_2^2}{4} - \frac{7p_1^2p_2}{8} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) 2p_3p_1 - p_4 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.3), then we get

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{8}(2) = \frac{1}{4},$$

so, then we have

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3.29)$$

Hence proved. \square

CHAPTER 4

ON A NEW SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC POINTS TANGENT HYPERBOLIC FUNCTION

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we established a new subclass of Starlike function and related to symmetric points. The hyperbolic function of trigonometric tangent is intimately associated with this subclass. we explore the results of the Zalcman functional, Henkel determinants, Fekete-Szego inequality, and coefficient estimations.

Defination 4.1.1. Lets $\zeta(t) \in A$ is in $S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$ after that

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} \prec 1 + \tanh(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$.

4.2 Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality

The subsequent outcomes pertaining to the specified class $S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$

Theorem 4.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}, |\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. According to the definition:

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} \prec 1 + \tanh(t)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$, using the subordination techniques, then we get

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = 1 + \tanh(v(t)). \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in A$, then $\zeta(t)$ is in the form that as:

$$\zeta(t) = t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \check{a}_n t^n, \quad (t \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (4.2)$$

So, it can be written as

$$\zeta(t) = t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

it follows that

$$\zeta(-t) = -t + \check{a}_2 t^2 - \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 - \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

now,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(t) - \zeta(-t) &= (t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots) - (-t + \check{a}_2 t^2 - \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 - \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots), \\ &= t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots + t - \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 - \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 - \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t) = 2t + 2\check{a}_3 t^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

taking derivative of $\zeta(t)$, then we get

$$\zeta'(t) = 1 + 2\check{a}_2 t + 3\check{a}_3 t^2 + 4\check{a}_4 t^3 + 5\check{a}_5 t^4 + \dots,$$

so, we have

$$2t\zeta'(t) = 2t + 4\check{a}_2 t^2 + 6\check{a}_3 t^3 + 8\check{a}_4 t^4 + \dots,$$

which leads us

$$\frac{2t\zeta'(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = \frac{2t + 4\check{a}_2 t^2 + 6\check{a}_3 t^3 + 8\check{a}_4 t^4 + \dots}{2t + 2\check{a}_3 t^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots},$$

taking $2\mathfrak{t}$ common on R.H.S, then we have

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{t}\zeta'(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} = \frac{2\mathfrak{t}(1 + 2\check{a}_2\mathfrak{t} + 3\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + 4\check{a}_4\mathfrak{t}^3 + \dots)}{2\mathfrak{t}(1 + \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots)},$$

so, it can also be written as

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{t}\zeta'(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} = (1 + 2\check{a}_2\mathfrak{t} + 3\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + 4\check{a}_4\mathfrak{t}^3 + \dots)(1 + \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots)^{-1}, \quad (4.3)$$

consider $(1 + \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots)^{-1}$ using Binomial Theorem, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + (\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4)]^{-1} &= 1 + (-1)(\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4) + \frac{(-1)(-1-1)}{2!}(\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4)^2 + \dots, \\ &= 1 - \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 - \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 + \check{a}_3^2\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

put above equation in (4.3), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\mathfrak{t}\zeta'(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} &= (1 + 2\check{a}_2\mathfrak{t} + 3\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + 4\check{a}_4\mathfrak{t}^3 + \dots)(1 - \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 - \check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 - \check{a}_3^2\mathfrak{t}^4), \\ &= 1 - \check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 + (\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5)\mathfrak{t}^4 + 2\check{a}_2\mathfrak{t} - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^3 + 3\check{a}_3\mathfrak{t}^2 - 3\check{a}_3^2\mathfrak{t}^4 + 4\check{a}_4\mathfrak{t}^3 + 5\check{a}_5\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

after simplification, then we will get

$$\implies \frac{2\mathfrak{t}\zeta'(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} = [1 + (2\check{a}_2)\mathfrak{t} + (2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^2 + (4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^3 + (4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots]. \quad (4.4)$$

Lets us a function

$$h(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1 + v(\mathfrak{t})}{1 - v(\mathfrak{t})} = 1 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots, \quad (4.5)$$

since $h(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $h(\mathfrak{t})$ is in the form that as:

$$h(\mathfrak{t}) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n\mathfrak{t}^n, \quad (\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (4.6)$$

Using the above statement then we get

$$v(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{h(\mathfrak{t}) - 1}{h(\mathfrak{t}) + 1}, \quad (4.7)$$

using (4.5) in (4.7) and then we have

$$v(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots - 1}{1 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots + 1},$$

so

$$v(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3 + p_4\mathfrak{t}^4}{2 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3},$$

it can also be written as

$$v(\mathfrak{t}) = (p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3 + p_4\mathfrak{t}^4)(2 + p_1\mathfrak{t} + p_2\mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3\mathfrak{t}^3)^{-1},$$

after simplification we will get

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathfrak{t}) = & \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ & \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{8} - \frac{p_1^4}{16} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

as we know that

$$\tanh[v(\mathfrak{t})] = [v(\mathfrak{t})] - \frac{[v(\mathfrak{t})]^3}{3} + \frac{2[v(\mathfrak{t})]^5}{15} + \dots,$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \tanh[v(\mathfrak{t})] = & \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ & \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies 1 + \tanh[v(\mathfrak{t})] = & \left[1 + \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \right. \\ & \left. \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Using (4.4) and (4.10) and substituting in (4.1), then we will get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (2\check{a}_2)\mathfrak{t} + (2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^2 + (4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^3 + (4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots = & \left[1 + \frac{p_1\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

By comparing both sides of the above equation, we obtained

$$2\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{2}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$2\check{a}_3 = \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4}\right), \quad (4.13)$$

$$4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 = \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right), \quad (4.14)$$

$$4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2 = \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right). \quad (4.15)$$

Consider (4.12) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_2 , and then we get

$$\therefore 2\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{2},$$

$$\implies \check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{4}. \quad (4.16)$$

Consider (4.13) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_3 , and then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 2\check{a}_3 &= \frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4}, \\ \implies \check{a}_3 &= \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Using (4.16) and (4.17), put in (4.14) and solve for the coefficient a_4

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 4\check{a}_4 - 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 &= \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_4 &= 2\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_4 &= 2 \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{8} + \frac{p_2}{4} \right) - \frac{p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}, \\ 4\check{a}_4 &= \frac{p_1p_2}{8} - \frac{p_1^3}{16} - \frac{p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2}, \\ 4\check{a}_4 &= \frac{-3p_1p_2}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{48}, \\ \implies \check{a}_4 &= \frac{-3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} + \frac{p_1^3}{192} \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Using (4.17), put in (4.15) and solve for the coefficient a_5

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 4\check{a}_5 - 2\check{a}_3^2 &= \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= 2\check{a}_3^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= 2 \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{8} + \frac{p_2}{4} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right), \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= \left[\frac{p_2^2}{8} + \frac{p_1^4}{32} - \frac{p_2p_1^2}{8} \right] - \frac{p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}, \\ 4\check{a}_5 &= \frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{8} + \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{8}, \\ \implies \check{a}_5 &= \frac{-p_3p_1}{8} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{32} + \frac{p_1^4}{128} + \frac{p_4}{8} - \frac{p_2^2}{32}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Now we will find the absolute values of the coefficients.

We have (4.16).

$$\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1}{4},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_2| = \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.12), then we get

$$|\check{a}_2| = \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \right| \leq \frac{2}{4} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.20)$$

We have (4.17).

$$\check{a}_3 = \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_3| = \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right| = \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| &\leq \frac{2}{4} \max\{1, |2(\frac{1}{2}) - 1|\}, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4} \max\{1, 0\} = \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.21)$$

We have (4.19).

$$\check{a}_4 = \frac{p_1^3}{192} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_4| = \left| \frac{p_1^3}{192} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right|,$$

using triangular inequality and Lemma (2.10.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p_1^3}{192} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right| &\leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{192} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{3}{32} - \frac{2}{192} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{192} - \frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{8} \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{192} + \frac{2(16)}{192} + \frac{2(7)}{192} = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (4.22)$$

We have (4.19).

$$\check{a}_5 = -\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{32} - \frac{p_3p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8} + \frac{p_1^4}{128},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_5| = \left| -\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{32} - \frac{p_3 p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8} + \frac{p_1^4}{128} \right|,$$

using (2.10.1) and Equations (2.12) and (2.13), then

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_5| &\leq \frac{1}{8} |p_4 - p_1 p_3| + \frac{1}{32} |p_1^2| |p_2| + \frac{1}{32} |p_2^2| + \frac{1}{128} |p_1^4|, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} (2) + \frac{1}{32} (2)^2 (2) + \frac{1}{32} (2)^2 + \frac{1}{128} (2)^4, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{3}{4}. \quad (4.23)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 4.2.2. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{m} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2} \right\}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (4.15) and (4.16), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right)^2 \right|, \\ |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} - \varepsilon \frac{p_1^2}{16} \right|, \\ |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{2 + \varepsilon}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{2 + \varepsilon}{4} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{2 + \varepsilon}{4} \right) - 1 \right| \right\},$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{m} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2} \right\}. \quad (4.24)$$

Hence proved. \square

Corollary 4.2.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (4.16) and (4.17), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_1}{4} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} - \frac{p_1^2}{16} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_2}{4} - \left(\frac{2+1}{16} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4} \hat{m} \hat{x} \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.25)$$

Hence proved. □

4.3 Hankel determinants

The following results are evaluated.

Theorem 4.3.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. From (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| &= \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_1^3}{192} - \frac{3p_1 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right) \right|, \\ |\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| &= \left| \frac{p_1 p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^3}{32} - \frac{p_1^3}{192} + \frac{3p_1 p_2}{32} - \frac{p_3}{8} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{7p_1^3}{192} - \frac{5p_1 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

implementation of triangular inequality and Lemma (2.10.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{7p_1^3}{192} - \frac{5p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right| &\leq 2 \left| \frac{7}{192} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{5}{32} - \frac{2(7)}{192} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{7}{192} - \frac{5}{32} + \frac{1}{8} \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{7}{96} + \frac{16}{96} + \frac{1}{96} = \frac{24}{96} = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (4.26)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 4.3.2. If $\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(\mathfrak{t}))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{11}{16}.$$

Proof. From (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \frac{p_1}{4} \left(\frac{p_1^3}{192} - \frac{3p_1p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3}{8} \right) - \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right)^2 \right|, \\ |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \frac{p_1^4}{768} - \frac{3p_1^2p_2}{128} + \frac{p_1p_3}{32} - \frac{p_2^2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{64} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{16} \right|, \\ |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \frac{p_1p_3}{32} - \frac{p_2^2}{16} + \left(\frac{-3}{128} + \frac{1}{16} \right) p_1^2p_2 + \left(\frac{1}{768} - \frac{1}{64} \right) p_1^4 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1p_3}{32} + \frac{5p_1^2p_2}{128} - \frac{11p_1^4}{768} - \frac{p_2^2}{16} \right|, \\ |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \frac{5p_1^2}{128} \left(p_2 - \frac{11p_1^2}{30} \right) + \frac{1}{32}p_1p_3 - \frac{p_2^2}{16} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equations (2.12),(2.13) and (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &\leq \frac{5}{128}(2)^2(2) + \frac{1}{16}(2)^2 + \frac{1}{32}(2)(2), \\ &\leq \frac{5}{16} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5+4+2}{16} = \frac{11}{16}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{11}{16}. \quad (4.27)$$

Hence proved. \square

Theorem 4.3.3. If $\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(\mathfrak{t}))$, then

$$|\check{\mathfrak{H}}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125.$$

Proof. Third order Hankel determinant is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta) = \check{a}_3(\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2) - \check{a}_4(\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3) + \check{a}_5(\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2),$$

taking modulus on both sides, then we get

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| = |\check{a}_3| |(\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2)| - |\check{a}_4| |(\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3)| + |\check{a}_5| |(\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2)|,$$

by implementing results Theorem 4.2.1, Corollary 4.2.2.1, Theorem 4.3.1 and Theorem 4.3.2, then we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{11}{16} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right), \\ &\leq \frac{11}{32} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{3}{8}, \\ &\leq \frac{11+2+12}{32} = \frac{25}{32}, \end{aligned}$$

so, we get

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125. \quad (4.28)$$

Hence proved. □

4.4 Zalcman Functional

The result is evaluated:

Theorem 4.4.1. if $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*(1 + \tanh(t))$, then

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. Using (4.16) and (4.18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right)^2 - \left(-\frac{p_2^2}{32} + \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_1^4}{128} - \frac{p_3 p_1}{8} + \frac{p_4}{8} \right) \right|, \\ &= \left| \left(\frac{p_2^2}{16} + \frac{p_1^4}{64} - \frac{p_2 p_1^2}{16} \right) + \frac{p_2^2}{32} - \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{32} - \frac{p_1^4}{128} + \frac{p_3 p_1}{8} - \frac{p_4}{8} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1^4}{128} + \frac{3p_2^2}{32} - \frac{3p_1^2 p_2}{32} + \frac{p_3 p_1}{8} - \frac{p_4}{8} \right|, \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left| \frac{p_1^4}{16} + \frac{3p_2^2}{4} - \frac{7p_1^2 p_2}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) 2p_3 p_1 - p_4 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.3), then we get

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{8}(2) = \frac{1}{4},$$

so, then we have

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{4}. \tag{4.29}$$

Hence proved.

□

CHAPTER 5

q-EXTENSION ON A NEW SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC POINTS q-TANGENT HYPERBOLIC FUNCTION

5.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we established a q-extension on a new subclass of Starlike function and related to symmetric points. The hyperbolic function of trigonometric tangent is intimately associated with this subclass. we explore the results of the Zalcman functional, Henkel determinants, Fekete-Szego inequality, and coefficient estimations.

For the following results we suppose $[n]_q$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $q \in (0, 1)$.

Defination 5.1.1. Lets $\zeta(z) \in A$ is in $S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(qz))$ after that

$$\frac{zD_q\zeta(z)}{\zeta(z) - \zeta(-z)} \prec 1 + \tanh(qz),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

5.2 Coefficients Estimates and Fekete-Szego Inequality

The main results for the specified class of $S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(qz))$

Theorem 5.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^* (1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{q}{1+q}, \quad |\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)},$$

$$|\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right],$$

$$|\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{2q+q^2-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{q+q^2-1}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right].$$

Proof. According to the definition:

$$\frac{2tD_q\zeta(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} \prec 1 + \tanh(qt)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^*$, using the subordination techniques, then we get

$$\frac{2tD_q\zeta(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = 1 + \tanh(v(qt)). \quad (5.1)$$

Since $\zeta(t) \in A$, then $\zeta(t)$ is in the form that as:

$$\zeta(t) = t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \check{a}_n t^n, \quad (t \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (5.2)$$

So it can also be written as:

$$\zeta(t) = t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

it follows that

$$\zeta(-t) = -t + \check{a}_2 t^2 - \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 - \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

which implies

$$\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t) = 2t + 2\check{a}_3 t^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots,$$

as we know that

$$D_q\zeta(t) = \frac{\zeta(qt) - \zeta(\rho)}{qt - t},$$

so, then we have

$$D_q\zeta(t) = \frac{(qt + \check{a}_2 q^2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 q^3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 q^4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 q^5 t^5 + \dots) - (t + \check{a}_2 t^2 + \check{a}_3 t^3 + \check{a}_4 t^4 + \check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots)}{qt - t},$$

$$= q_1 + 2\check{a}_2 q_2 t + 3\check{a}_3 q_3 t^2 + 4\check{a}_4 q_4 t^3 + 5\check{a}_5 q_5 t^4 + \dots,$$

which leads us

$$\frac{2tD_q\zeta(t)}{\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)} = \frac{2(q_1 \rho + q_2 \check{a}_2 t^2 + q_3 \check{a}_3 t^3 + q_4 \check{a}_4 t^4 + q_5 \check{a}_5 t^5 \dots)}{2\rho + 2\check{a}_3 \rho^3 + 2\check{a}_5 t^5 + \dots},$$

after simplification, then we will get

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{t}D_q\zeta(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} = [q_1 + (\check{a}_2q_2)\mathfrak{t} + (\check{a}_3q_3 - q_1\check{a}_3)\mathfrak{t}^2 + (\check{a}_4q_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3q_2)\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ (q_5\check{a}_5 - q_1\check{a}_5 - \check{a}_3^2q_3 + q_1\check{a}_3^2)\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots]. \quad (5.3)$$

It can also be written as:

$$\frac{2\rho D_q\zeta(\mathfrak{t})}{\zeta(\mathfrak{t}) - \zeta(-\mathfrak{t})} = [1 + \check{a}_2(1+q)\mathfrak{t} + \check{a}_3q(1+q)\mathfrak{t}^2 + [\check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3(1+q)]\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ [\check{a}_5q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_3^2q(1+q)]\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots]. \quad (5.4)$$

Since $h(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathfrak{F}$, Then $h(\mathfrak{t})$ is in the form that as:

$$h(\mathfrak{t}) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n \mathfrak{t}^n, \quad (\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (5.5)$$

Similarly,

$$h(q\mathfrak{t}) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n q^n \mathfrak{t}^n. \quad (5.6)$$

So, let us a function

$$h(q\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1 + v(q\mathfrak{t})}{1 - v(q\mathfrak{t})} = 1 + p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots, \quad (5.7)$$

using the above statement then we get

$$v(q\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{h(q\mathfrak{t}) - 1}{h(q\mathfrak{t}) + 1}, \quad (5.8)$$

using (5.7) in (5.8) and then we have

$$v(q\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1 + p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots - 1}{1 + p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots + 1}, \\ = \frac{p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3 q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \dots}{2 + p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots},$$

so

$$v(q\mathfrak{t}) = (p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + p_3 q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \dots)(2 + p_1 q\mathfrak{t} + p_2 q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \dots)^{-1},$$

after simplifying above equation, then we get

$$v(q\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{p_1 q\mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2}\right) q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1 p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_3}{2}\right) q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ \left(\frac{-p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{3p_1^2 p_2}{8} - \frac{p_1^4}{16} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4}\right) q^4 \mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \quad (5.9)$$

as we know that

$$\tanh[v(q\mathfrak{t})] = [v(q\mathfrak{t})] - \frac{[v(q\mathfrak{t})]^3}{3} + \frac{2[v(q\mathfrak{t})]^5}{15} + \dots,$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \tanh[v(q\mathfrak{t})] &= \frac{p_1 q \mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1 p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{-p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{4} - \frac{0 p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4 \mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies 1 + \tanh[v(q\mathfrak{t})] &= \left[1 + \frac{p_1 q \mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \left(\frac{-p_1 p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{-p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{5 p_1^2 p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4 \mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Using (5.4) and (5.11) and substituting in (5.1), then we will get

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \check{a}_2(1+q)\mathfrak{t} + \check{a}_3 q(1+q)\mathfrak{t}^2 + [\check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_2 \check{a}_3(1+q)]\mathfrak{t}^3 + \\ &[\check{a}_5 q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_3^2 q(1+q)]\mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots = \left[1 + \frac{p_1 q \mathfrak{t}}{2} + \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) q^2 \mathfrak{t}^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{-p_1 p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3 \mathfrak{t}^3 + \left(\frac{-p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{5 p_1^2 p_2}{16} - \frac{p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4 \mathfrak{t}^4 + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

By comparing both sides of the above equation, we obtained

$$\check{a}_2(1+q) = \frac{p_1 q}{2}, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\check{a}_3 q(1+q) = \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right) q^2, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) - a_2 a_3(1+q) = \left(\frac{-p_1 p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\check{a}_5 q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_3^2 q(1+q) = \left(\frac{-p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{4} - \frac{0 p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4. \quad (5.16)$$

Consider (5.13) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_2 , and then we get

$$\therefore \check{a}_2(1+q) = \frac{p_1 q}{2},$$

so,

$$\implies \check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1 q}{2(1+q)}. \quad (5.17)$$

Consider (5.14) and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_3 , and then we get

$$\therefore \check{a}_3 q(1+q) = \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right) q^2,$$

so,

$$\implies \check{a}_3 = \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right]. \quad (5.18)$$

Consider (5.15) and solving

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3(1+q) &= \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3, \\ \check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) &= \check{a}_2\check{a}_3(1+q) + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3, \end{aligned}$$

using (5.17) and (5.18), put in above statement and solve for the coefficient \check{a}_4

$$\begin{aligned} \check{a}_4(1+q+q^2+q^3) &= (1+q) \left[\frac{p_1q}{2(1+q)} \right] \left[\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) \right] + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3, \\ &= \frac{q^3}{q(1+q)} \left(\frac{-p_1^3}{8} + \frac{p_1p_2}{4} \right) + \left(\frac{-p_1p_2}{2} + \frac{p_1^3}{12} + \frac{p_3}{2} \right) q^3, \\ &= q^3 \left[\left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\implies \check{a}_4 = \frac{q^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left[\left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right]. \quad (5.19)$$

Consider (5.14) and solving

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \check{a}_5q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - \check{a}_3^2q(1+q) &= \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4, \\ \check{a}_5q(1+q+q^2+q^3) &= \check{a}_3^2q(1+q) + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4, \end{aligned}$$

using (5.18), put in above statement and solve for the coefficient a_5

$$\begin{aligned} \check{a}_5q(1+q+q^2+q^3) &= q(1+q) \left[\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{p_1^2}{8} \right) \right]^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4, \\ &= q(1+q) \frac{q^4}{q^2(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{-p_1}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} - \frac{0p_1^4}{32} + \frac{p_4}{2} - \frac{p_2^2}{4} \right) q^4, \\ &= q^4 \left[\frac{-p_1^4}{16q(1+q)} + \frac{p_2^2}{4q(1+q)} - \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4q(1+q)} - \frac{p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_1^2p_2}{4} \right], \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} - 0 \right) p_1^4 + \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)} - \frac{1}{4} \right) p_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2p_2 - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{p_3p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\implies \check{a}_5 = \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2p_2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 + \right.$$

$$\left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} \right) p_1^4 - \frac{p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \Big]. \quad (5.20)$$

Now we will find the absolute values of the coefficients.

we have (5.17).

$$\check{a}_2 = \frac{p_1 q}{2(1+q)},$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_2| = \left| \frac{p_1 q}{2(1+q)} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2| = \frac{|p_1| q}{2(1+q)},$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.12), then we get

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{|p_1| q}{2(1+q)} = \frac{2q}{2(1+q)} = \frac{q}{1+q},$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{q}{1+q}. \quad (5.21)$$

We have (5.18).

$$\check{a}_3 = \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right],$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{a}_3| = \left| \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right] \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_3| = \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left| \frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_3| = \frac{q^2}{2q(1+q)} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| &\leq 2 \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq 2 \max \{ 1, 0 \} = 2, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{q^2}{2q(1+q)} (2),$$

then we have

$$|\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \quad (5.22)$$

We have (5.19).

$$\check{\alpha}_4 = \frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1 p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right],$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{\alpha}_4| = \left| \frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1 p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right] \right|,$$

$$|\check{\alpha}_4| = \frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left| \left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1 p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right|,$$

using triangular inequality and Lemma (2,10.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1 p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right| &\leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. 2 \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{2} \right|, \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right|, \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{2}{6} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right|, \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

so, then we have

$$|\check{\alpha}_4| \leq \frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right]. \quad (5.23)$$

We have (5.20).

$$\check{\alpha}_5 = \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 + \left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} \right) p_1^4 - \frac{p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \right],$$

taking modulus on both sides, then

$$|\check{\alpha}_5| = \left| \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 + \left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} \right) p_1^4 - \frac{p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \right] \right|,$$

$$|\check{\alpha}_5| = \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left| \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 + \left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} \right) p_1^4 - \frac{p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \right] \right|,$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equations (2.12) and (2.13), then

$$|\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| |p_1|^2 |p_2| + \left| \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| |p_2|^2 + \left| \frac{1}{16q(1+q)} \right| |p_1|^4 + \frac{1}{2} |p_1 p_3 - p_4| \right],$$

$$|\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| (2)^2 (2) + \frac{1}{4} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| (2)^2 + \left| \frac{1}{16\hat{q}_o(1+\hat{q}_o)} \right| (2)^4 + \frac{1}{2} (2) \right],$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{2q+2q^2-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{q+q^2-1}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right]. \quad (5.24)$$

The proof is finally complete. \square

In this theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain the result for class S_s^* previously established by Khan *et al.* [35], as displayed in the corollary.

Corollary 5.2.1.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |\check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}, |\check{a}_5| \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Theorem 5.2.2. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|q}{1+q} \right\}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (5.17) and (5.18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right] \right) - \varepsilon \left(\frac{p_1 q}{2(1+q)} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \frac{q^2 p_1^2}{4q(1+q)} - \varepsilon \frac{p_1^2 q^2}{4(1+q)^2} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^2 q^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \left(\frac{(1+q) + \varepsilon q}{4q(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^2 q^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{q^2}{2q(1+q)} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{1+q + \varepsilon q}{2(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| &\leq \frac{2q^2}{2q(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{1+q + \varepsilon q}{2(1+q)} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{1+q + \varepsilon q - (1+q)}{1+q} \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|q}{1+q} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \mathit{m\hat{a}x} \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|q}{1+q} \right\}. \quad (5.25)$$

The proof is finally complete. \square

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2.2.1. If $\zeta(\dagger) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \varepsilon \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathit{m\hat{a}x} \left\{ 1, \frac{|\varepsilon|}{2} \right\}.$$

Theorem 5.2.3. If $\zeta(\dagger) \in S_{s,q}^* (1 + \tanh(q\dagger))$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)}.$$

Proof. Utilizing (5.17) and (5.18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right] \right) - \left(\frac{p_1 q}{2(1+q)} \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \frac{q^2 p_1^2}{4q(1+q)} - \frac{p_1^2 q^2}{4(1+q)^2} \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{4(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^2 q^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{q^2 p_2}{2q(1+q)} - \left(\frac{(1+q)+q}{4q(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^2 q^2 \right|, \\ &= \frac{q^2}{2q(1+q)} \left| p_2 - \left(\frac{1+2q}{2(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using Application of Lemma (2.10.1) and Equation (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| &\leq \frac{2q^2}{2q(1+q)} \mathit{m\hat{a}x} \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(\frac{1+2q}{2(1+q)} \right) - 1 \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \mathit{m\hat{a}x} \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{1+2q-(1+q)}{1+q} \right| \right\}, \\ &\leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \mathit{m\hat{a}x} \left\{ 1, \frac{q}{1+q} \right\} = \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} (1), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{q^2}{q(1+q)}. \quad (5.26)$$

The proof is finally complete. \square

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2.3.1. If $\zeta(\dagger) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

5.3 Hankel determinants

The following results are evaluated.

Theorem 5.3.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| \leq q^3 \left[\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right].$$

Proof. From (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19), then we have

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| = \left| \left(\frac{p_1q}{2(1+q)} \right) \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right] \right) - \frac{q^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left[\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right] \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| = \left| \frac{p_1q^3}{2q(1+q)} \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) - \frac{q^3p_1^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) + \frac{q^3p_1p_2}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) - \frac{q^3p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| = q^3 \left| \frac{p_1}{2q(1+q)} \left(\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right) - \frac{p_1^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) + \frac{p_1p_2}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) - \frac{p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| = q^3 \left| \frac{-p_1^3}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{p_1p_2}{4q(1+q)^2} - \frac{p_1^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) + \frac{p_1p_2}{1+q+q^2+q^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) - \frac{p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| = q^3 \left| -p_1^3 \left(\frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) + p_1p_2 \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) - \frac{p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|,$$

$$|\check{a}_2 a_3 - \check{a}_4| = q^3 \left| p_1^3 \left(\frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) - p_1 p_2 \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) + \frac{p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|,$$

implementation of triangular inequality and Lemma (2.10.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| p_1^3 \left(\frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) - p_1 p_2 \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) + \frac{p_3}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| - 2 \left(\frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) \left| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \left| \frac{1}{8q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{12(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|, \right. \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + 2 \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} - \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{2q(1+q)^2} - \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{2q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|, \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} - \frac{1}{2q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{2q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|, \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \left| \frac{-1}{q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

so, then we get

$$|\check{a}_2 \check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| \leq q^3 \left[\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \right.$$

$$\frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|. \quad (5.27)$$

This is the desired result. \square

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3.1.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_4| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Theorem 5.3.2. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^*(1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{q^4}{1+q} \left[\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3\bar{q})}{q^2(1+\bar{q})(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right].$$

Proof. From (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &= \left| \left(\frac{p_1q}{2(1+q)} \right) \left(\frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{-1}{8q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{12} \right) p_1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1p_2 + \frac{p_3}{2} \right] \right) - \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{-p_1^2}{4} + \frac{p_2}{2} \right] \right)^2 \right|, \\ &= \left| \frac{p_1^4q^4}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} + \frac{1}{24} \right) - \frac{p_1^2p_2q^4}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)} \right) + \frac{p_1p_3q^4}{4(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \left(\frac{p_1^4q^4}{16q^2(1+q)^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{q^4}{4q^2(1+q)^2} - \frac{p_1^2p_2q^4}{4q^2(1+q)^2} \right) \right|, \\ &= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left| p_1^4 \left(\frac{1}{24(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{16q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{16q^2(1+q)^2} \right) - p_1^2p_2 \left(\frac{1}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q^2(1+q)} \right) + \frac{p_1p_3}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{p_2^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right|, \\ &= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left| p_1^2 \left[p_2 \left(\frac{1}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{8q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q^2(1+q)} \right) - p_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{24(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{16q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{16q^2(1+q)^2} \right) \right] + \frac{p_1p_3}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{p_2^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left| p_1^2 \left[p_2 \left(\frac{-2-3q}{8q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) - p_1^2 \left(\frac{-3-6q-q^2-q^3}{48q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) \right] + \frac{p_1 p_3}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{p_2^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right|, \\
&= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left[|p_1^2| \left| p_2 \left(\frac{-2-3q}{8q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) - p_1^2 \left(\frac{-3-6q-q^2-q^3}{48q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) \right| + \left| \frac{p_1 p_3}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{p_2^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right| \right], \\
&= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left\{ |p_1|^2 \left| \left(\frac{-2-3q}{8q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) \left[p_2 - p_1^2 \left(\frac{-3-6q-q^2-q^3}{-16-24q} \right) \right] \right| + \frac{|p_1||p_3|}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{|p_2|^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right\}, \\
&= \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left[|p_1|^2 \left| \frac{-2-3q}{8q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \left| p_2 - p_1^2 \left(\frac{-3-6q-q^2-q^3}{-16-24q} \right) \right| + \frac{|p_1||p_3|}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{|p_2|^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.1) and Equations (2.12) and (2.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &\leq \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left[(2)^2 \left| \frac{-2-3q}{8q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| (2) + \frac{(2)(2)}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{(2)^2}{4q^2(1+q)} \right], \\
|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| &\leq \frac{q^4}{(1+q)} \left[\left| \frac{-2-3q}{q^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{q^4}{1+q} \left[\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3q)}{q^2(1+\bar{q})(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right]. \quad (5.28)$$

This is the desired result. \square

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3.2.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2| \leq \frac{11}{16}.$$

Theorem 5.3.3. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^* (1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\rho)| \leq q^6 \left[\frac{1}{q(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3\bar{q})}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{2q(1+q)-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{q(1+\bar{q})-1}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right) \right].$$

Proof. Third order Hankel determinant is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta) = \check{a}_3(\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2) - \check{a}_4(\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_2\check{a}_3) + \check{a}_5(\check{a}_3 - \check{a}_2^2),$$

taking modulus on both sides, then we get

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| = |\check{a}_3| |(\check{a}_2\check{a}_4 - \check{a}_3^2)| + |\check{a}_4| |(a_4 - a_2a_3)| + |\check{a}_5| |(a_3 - a_2^2)|,$$

by implementing results Theorem 5.2.1, Theorem 5.2.3, Theorem 5.3.1 and Theorem 5.3.2, then we obtained

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \right) \left(\frac{q^4}{1+q} \left[\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3q)}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right] \right) + \left(\frac{q^3}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right] \right) \left(q^3 \left[\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right] \right) + \left(\frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \frac{2q(1+q)-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{q(1+\bar{q})-1}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right] \right) \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq & \frac{q^6}{q(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3q)}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \\
& \frac{q^6}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \right. \\
& \left. \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \\
& \frac{q^6}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{2q(1+q)-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{\bar{q}(1+q)-1}{q(1+\bar{q})} \right| + \right. \\
& \left. \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq & q^6 \left[\frac{1}{q(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1+q+q^2+q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)} + \left| \frac{(-2-3q)}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \right. \\
& \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right| + \frac{2}{3} \right) \left(\left| \frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| + \frac{2}{3(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \right. \\
& \left. \left| \frac{-1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \frac{1}{6(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right) + \\
& \frac{1}{q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\left| \frac{2q(1+q)-2}{q(1+q)} \right| + \left| \frac{q(1+q)-1}{q(1+q)} \right| + \right. \\
& \left. \left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)} \right| + 1 \right) \Big]. \tag{5.29}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result. □

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3.3.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\mathfrak{H}_{3,1}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125.$$

5.4 Zalcman Functional

The result is evaluated:

Theorem 5.4.1. If $\zeta(t) \in S_{s,q}^* (1 + \tanh(qt))$, then

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{\bar{q}(1+q+q^2+q^3)}.$$

Proof. Using (5.17) and (5.20), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \left| \left(\frac{q^2}{q(1+q)} \left[\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right] \right)^2 - \left(\frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 + \left(\frac{-1}{16q(1+q)} \right) p_1^4 - \frac{p_3 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2} \right] \right|, \\ |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \left| \frac{q^4}{q^2(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{p_2^2}{4} + \frac{p_1^4}{16} - \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{4} \right) - \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{q^4}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 - \frac{q^4 p_1^4}{16q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{q^4 p_3 p_1}{2q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{q^4 p_4}{2q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right|, \\ |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \frac{q^4}{q} \left[\left| \frac{1}{q(1+q)^2} \left(\frac{p_2^2}{4} + \frac{p_1^4}{16} - \frac{p_1^2 p_2}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)} \right) p_2^2 - \frac{p_1^4}{16q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{p_3 p_1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{p_4}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right], \\ |\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| &= \frac{q^4}{q} \left[\left| \left(\frac{1}{16q(1+q)^2} - \frac{1}{16q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_1^4 + \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} - \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{4q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} + \frac{1}{4q^2(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_2^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4q(1+q)^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{4(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{1}{4q(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 + \frac{p_3 p_1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{p_4}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right], \\ &= \frac{q^4}{q} \left[\left| \left(\frac{(1+q+q^2+q^3) - (1+q)}{16q(1+q)^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_1^4 + \left(\frac{q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - q(1+q)^2 + (1+q)}{4q^2(1+q)^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_2^2 - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{(1+q+q^2+q^3) + q(1+q) - (1+q)}{4q(1+q)^2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right) p_1^2 p_2 + \frac{p_3 p_1}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} - \frac{p_4}{2(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \right| \right], \\ &= \frac{q^4}{2q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \left[\left| \left(\frac{q^2+q^3}{8q(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^4 + \left(\frac{q(1+q+q^2+q^3) - q(1+q)^2 + (1+q)}{2q^2(1+q)^2} \right) p_2^2 - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{(1+q+q^2+q^3) + q(1+q) - (1+q)}{2q(1+q)^2} \right) p_1^2 p_2 + p_3 p_1 - p_4 \right| \right], \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma (2.10.3), then we get

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{2q(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \quad (2)$$

so,

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{q^4}{q(1+\bar{q}+q^2+q^3)} \quad (5.30)$$

This is the desired result. □

In this Theorem, when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we arrive at the well-known outcome that is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4.1.1. If $\zeta(\dagger) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|\check{a}_3^2 - \check{a}_5| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION

The main goal of this thesis is to find bounds on the initial coefficients of analytic, univalent, normalized functions in the open unit disk. We started by reiterating key concepts and initial findings from Geometric Function Theory. These fundamental ideas serve as the basis for our groundbreaking discoveries, and we also looked at modern developments in Quantum Calculus. Apart from a detailed examination of the impact of the q -derivative operator on Geometric Function Theory. Additionally, using q -Calculus, we have created new families of analytic functions connected to symmetric points.

The research centers on a specific category of univalent functions known as starlike functions, which are related to symmetric points. Expanding on the work on the S_s^* class of starlike functions associated with symmetric locations done by Khan *et al.* [35], i had explored into expanding this class. A new class expressing starlike functions with regard to symmetric points subordinate to the hyperbolic tangent function was introduced, an extension of the original S_s^* category.

I had provided a q -extension of these function classes by constructing the $S_s^*(q)$ class, which comprises q -starlike functions subordinate to the q -hyperbolic tangent function. The q -derivative operator was employed to define these classes, and their properties were analyzed using subordination techniques.

I investigated several essential characteristics of functions within the newly defined class, including the Fekete–Szegő inequality, the Zalcman functional, and coefficient bounds. Futhermore, i had analyzed the Hankel determinants of second and third order for these functions. The results show that these new classes offer advancements above those that already exist, extending beyond

previously established theorems in Geometric Function Theory. I verified the consistency of our findings with known results by examining the limit as $q \rightarrow 1^-$. I expect that this research will make a significant contribution to the field of Geometric Function Theory.

6.1 Future Work

This thesis explores an important category in univalent function theory: starlike functions related to symmetric points, specifically those subordinate to the hyperbolic tangent function. I extend these classes utilizing the idea of convexity. Additionally, we draw conclusions for the more comprehensive category of q -convex functions and examine both the geometric and analytical relationships between the functions discussed in this work and the newly introduced class.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Walsh, “History of the riemann mapping theorem,” *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 270–276, 1973.
- [2] E. Neuenschwander, “Studies in the history of complex function theory. ii: Interactions among the french school, riemann, and weierstrass,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 87–105, 1981.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001, vol. 259.
- [4] P. Koebe, “Über die uniformisierung der algebraischen kurven. ii,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 1–81, 1910.
- [5] M. I. Robertson, “On the theory of univalent functions,” *Annals of Mathematics*, pp. 374–408, 1936.
- [6] T. H. MacGregor, “A class of univalent functions,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 311–317, 1964.
- [7] K. Sakaguchi, “On a certain univalent mapping,” *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 72–75, 1959.
- [8] P. L. Duren, “Coefficients of univalent functions,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 891–911, 1977.
- [9] R. H. Nevanlinna, “Über die konforme abbildung von sterngebieten,” *Översikt av Vetenskaps-Societetens förhandlingar/A*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 1–21, 1921.
- [10] H. Silverman, “Univalent functions with negative coefficients,” *Proceedings of the American mathematical society*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 109–116, 1975.
- [11] A. Roig Sanchis, “Univalent functions and the bieberbach conjecture,” *Jornal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 477, no. 2, pp. 1089–1103, 2019.

- [12] A. Gangadharan, V. Ravichandran, and T. Shanmugam, “Radii of convexity and strong starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions,” *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 301–313, 1997.
- [13] C. H. FitzGerald and C. Pommerenke, “The de branges theorem on univalent functions,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 290, no. 2, pp. 683–690, 1985.
- [14] M. DARUS, “Coefficient estimates of classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions,” *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2016.
- [15] T. Seoudy and M. Aouf, “Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order,” *J. Math. Inequal*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 135–145, 2016.
- [16] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, “Eine bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen,” *Journal of the london mathematical society*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 85–89, 1933.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, S. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, and S. Hussain, “Fekete-szegő type problems and their applications for a subclass of q-starlike functions with respect to symmetrical points,” *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 842–863, 2020.
- [18] C. Pommerenke, “On the hankel determinants of univalent functions,” *Mathematika*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 108–112, 1967.
- [19] W. Hayman, “On the second hankel determinant of mean univalent functions,” *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 77–94, 1968.
- [20] S. Annamalai, S. Sivasubramanian, and C. Ramachandran, “Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions involving conical domains defined by subordination,” *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 945–956, 2017.
- [21] K. I. Noor, “Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation,” *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 731–739, 1983.
- [22] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, “Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions,” *Int. J. Math. Anal*, vol. 1, no. 13, pp. 619–625, 2007.
- [23] K. O. Babalola, “On $h_3(1)$ hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:0910.3779*, 2009.

- [24] D. Vamshee Krishna, B. Venkateswarlu, and T. RamReddy, “Third hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points,” *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, sectio A–Mathematica*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 37–45, 2016.
- [25] A. Lecko, Y. J. Sim, and B. Śmiarowska, “The sharp bound of the hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions of order $1/2$,” *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 13, pp. 2231–2238, 2019.
- [26] L. Shi, H. M. Srivastava, M. Arif, S. Hussain, and H. Khan, “An investigation of the third hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of univalent functions involving the exponential function,” *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 598–614, 2019.
- [27] K. Joshi and S. S. Kumar, “Third hankel determinant for a class of starlike functions associated with exponential function,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.13707*, 2022.
- [28] M. Arif, K. I. Noor, and M. Raza, “Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–7, 2012.
- [29] T. G. Shaba, S. Araci, B. O. Adebesein, F. Tchier, S. Zainab, and B. Khan, “Sharp bounds of the fekete–szegő problem and second hankel determinant for certain bi-univalent functions defined by a novel q -differential operator associated with q -limaçon domain,” *Fractal and Fractional*, vol. 7, no. 7, p. 506, 2023.
- [30] K. Ullah, I. Al-Shbeil, M. I. Faisal, M. Arif, and H. Alsaud, “Results on second-order hankel determinants for convex functions with symmetric points,” *Symmetry*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 939, 2023.
- [31] A. Riaz, M. Raza, M. A. Binyamin, and A. Saliu, “The second and third hankel determinants for starlike and convex functions associated with three-leaf function,” *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2023.
- [32] S. Sümer Eker, A. Lecko, B. Çekiç, and B. Şeker, “The second hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for strongly ozaki close-to-convex functions,” *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 46, no. 6, p. 183, 2023.
- [33] V. Allu and A. Shaji, “Second hankel determinant for logarithmic inverse coefficients of convex and starlike functions,” *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, pp. 1–12, 2023.

- [34] Z.-G. Wang, M. Arif, Z.-H. Liu, S. Zainab, R. Fayyaz, M. Ihsan, and M. Shutaywi, "Sharp bounds of hankel determinants for certain subclass of starlike functions," *J. Appl. Anal. Comput*, vol. 13, pp. 860–873, 2023.
- [35] M. G. Khan, B. Ahmad, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, W. K. Mashwani, S. Yalçın, T. G. Shaba, and Z. Salleh, "Third hankel determinant and zalcman functional for a class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points related with sine function," *J. Math. Comput. Sci*, vol. 25, pp. 29–36, 2022.
- [36] D. Bansal and J. Sokól, "Zalcman conjecture for some subclass of analytic functions," *J. Fract. Calc. Appl*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2017.
- [37] J. E. Brown and A. Tsao, "On the zalcman conjecture for starlike and typically real functions," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 191, no. 3, pp. 467–474, 1986.
- [38] W. C. Ma, "The zalcman conjecture for close-to-convex functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 741–744, 1988.
- [39] V. Allu and A. Pandey, "On the generalized zalcman conjecture," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923-)*, pp. 1–11, 2024.
- [40] D. N. Page, "Information in black hole radiation," *Physical review letters*, vol. 71, no. 23, pp. 37–43, 1993.
- [41] T. Chaundy, "Frank hilton jackson," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 126–128, 1962.
- [42] F. H. Jackson, "On q-functions and a certain difference operator," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 46, pp. 253–281, 1908.
- [43] F. Jackson, "On q-definite integrals," *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, pp. 193–203, 1910.
- [44] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes, and D. Styer, "A generalization of starlike functions," *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1-4, pp. 77–84, 1990.

- [45] H. M. Srivastava, “Some generalizations and basic (or q -) extensions of the bernoulli, euler and genocchi polynomials,” *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 390–444, 2011.
- [46] M. Darus, “Coefficient estimates of classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions,” *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2016.
- [47] C. Ramachandran, D. Kavitha, and T. Soupramanien, “Certain bound for q -starlike and q -convex functions with respect to symmetric points,” *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2015, no. 1, pp. 205–682, 2015.
- [48] S. Agrawal, “Coefficient estimates for some classes of functions associated with q -function theory,” *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 446–456, 2017.
- [49] M. Arif and B. Ahmad, “New subfamily of meromorphic multivalent starlike functions in circular domain involving q -differential operator,” *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 1049–1056, 2018.
- [50] N. Cho, V. Kumar, S. S. Kumar, and V. Ravichandran, “Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function,” *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, pp. 213–232, 2019.
- [51] P. Zaprawa, “On coefficient problems for functions starlike with respect to symmetric points,” *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 17, 2022.
- [52] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [53] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.
- [54] P. L. Duren, “Coefficients of univalent functions,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 891–911, 1977.
- [55] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*. Washington, New Jersey: Polygonal Publishing House, 1983, vol. I and II.
- [56] R. Nevanlinna, “Über die konforme abbildung sternförmiger gebiete,” *Oversikt av Finska-Vetenskaps Societeten Forhandlingar*, vol. 63, pp. 1–21, 1920.

- [57] E. L. Lindelöf, “Mémoire sur certaines inégalités dans la théorie des fonctions monogènes et sur quelques propriétés nouvelles de ces fonctions dans le voisinage d’un point singulier essentiel,” *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 33, pp. 1–65, 1909.
- [58] J. E. Littlewood, “On inequalities in the theory of functions,” *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 481–519, 1925.
- [59] W. Rogosinski and G. Szegő, “Über die abschnitte von potenzreihen, die in einem kreise beschränkt bleiben,” *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 73–94, 1928.
- [60] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*. CRC Press, 2000.
- [61] V. G. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum calculus*. New York: Springer, 2002.
- [62] H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1989.
- [63] C. Pommerenke, “On the coefficients and hankel determinants of univalent functions,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 111–122, 1966.
- [64] J. Noonan and D. Thomas, “On the second hankel determinant of areally mean-valent functions,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 223, pp. 337–346, 1976.
- [65] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1975.
- [66] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, “Coefficient inequality for certain subclasses of analytic functions,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20, pp. 8–12, 1969.
- [67] M. Arif, M. Raza, H. Tang, S. Hussain, and H. Khan, “Hankel determinant of order three for familiar subsets of analytic functions related with sine function,” *Open Mathematics*, vol. 17, pp. 1615–1630, 2019.
- [68] V. Ravichandran and S. Verma, “Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions,” *Comptes Rendus Mathématique - Académie des Sciences*, vol. 353, pp. 505–510, 2015.